



University of Texas  
at San Antonio

Dear Aimin Liu, (as private and confidential)

## UTSA Course Evaluation Survey Results

Dear Aimin Liu,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results of the survey 19851 CHE 4971 001 Proseminar.

The overall indicator is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above. In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

-----  
If you have questions about the evaluation system please contact Doug Atkinson at [evaluate@utsa.edu](mailto:evaluate@utsa.edu)

# Aimin Liu


19851 CHE 4971 001 Proseminar (Fall 2021 16wk)



## Survey Results

### Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

### 1.


1.1)	1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0
1.2)	2. The instructor communicated information effectively.		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0
1.3)	3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0
1.4)	4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0
1.5)	5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0
1.6)	6. My overall rating of the course is:		1 - Poor	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0
1.7)	7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:		1 - Poor	n=5 av.=5 dev.=0

# Histogram for scaled questions


1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and




2. The instructor communicated information effectively.




3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.




4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.




5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.









6. My overall rating of the course is:



7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:



y	5	4	3	2	1	
x						
5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%









x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor

y	5	4	3	2	1	
x						
5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%









x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and

- 5: 5 - Strongly Agree
- 4: 4 - Agree
- 3: 3 - Neutral
- 2: 2 - Disagree
- 1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

y	5	4	3	2	1	
x						
5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%









x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

- 5: 5 - Strongly Agree
- 4: 4 - Agree
- 3: 3 - Neutral
- 2: 2 - Disagree
- 1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

y	5	4	3	2	1	
x						
5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%









x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.

- 5: 5 - Strongly Agree
- 4: 4 - Agree
- 3: 3 - Neutral
- 2: 2 - Disagree
- 1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

y	5	4	3	2	1	
x						
5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%









x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

- 5: 5 - Strongly Agree
- 4: 4 - Agree
- 3: 3 - Neutral
- 2: 2 - Disagree
- 1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

y	5	4	3	2	1	
x						
5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
4	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%



x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

- 5: 5 - Excellent
- 4: 4 - Above Average
- 3: 3 - Average
- 2: 2 - Below Average
- 1: 1 - Poor





y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.

- 5: 5 - Strongly Agree
- 4: 4 - Agree
- 3: 3 - Neutral
- 2: 2 - Disagree
- 1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

# Profile

Subunit: College of Sciences  
 Name of the instructor: Aimin Liu  
 Name of the course: 19851 CHE 4971 001 Proseminar  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1.1)	1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.	5 - Strongly Agree		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.2)	2. The instructor communicated information effectively.	5 - Strongly Agree		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.3)	3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.	5 - Strongly Agree		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.4)	4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.	5 - Strongly Agree		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.5)	5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.	5 - Strongly Agree		1 - Strongly Disagree	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.6)	6. My overall rating of the course is:	5 - Excellent		1 - Poor	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00
1.7)	7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:	5 - Excellent		1 - Poor	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00

# Comments Report

---

1.

- <sup>1.8)</sup> Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.
- A great teacher who has a lot of knowledge to share with his students. For the first time teaching the class I think he did a great job!
  - I really enjoyed this course. Meeting once a week worked well for my schedule, and I liked preparing for my presentation and hearing feedback from my classmates. Additionally, I liked getting to watch their presentations each week! The few presentations Dr. Liu gave were very insightful and interesting. He is a very passionate professor and a very kind human being.
  - Loved the presentations being in-person. Enjoyed the presentations being about different topics and perspectives.